1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 310.446.9909 Los Angeles, California 90067 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No.: 5:15-CV-04409-BLF MICHAEL JOHNSON, Plaintiff, **DECLARATION OF MICHAEL J.** SALTZ, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF **DEFENDANT ON-SITE MANAGER,** VS. **INC.'S OPPOSITION TO** PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ON-SITE MANAGER, INC., EXCLUDE EXPERT TESTIMONY OF REBECCA E. KUEHN Defendant.

Complaint filed: September 23, 2015 Trial Date: January 8, 2018

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL J. SALTZ

- I, Michael J. Saltz, do declare:
- I am over the age of eighteen, a partner with Jacobson, Russell, Saltz, 1. Nassim & de la Torre, LLP and counsel of record for On-Site Manager, Inc. ("On-Site"), a Defendant in the above-captioned matter. If called upon to do so, I could and would competently testify as to the contents of this declaration based upon my personal, first-hand knowledge.
- Defendant both designated its expert and produced the subject report as required under this Court's schedule of deadlines. [See Docket No. ("DN") 27]. In that

Case No.: 5:15-cv-04409

File No.: 5.385.011

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

310.446.9909 13 report, designated expert witness Rebecca E. Kuehn disclosed all documents that she relied upon in drafting her report.

- 3. As of May 21, 2017, Plaintiff was served with Mrs. Kuehn's report, as well as a disclosure of the documents relied upon.
 - 4. Expert discovery in this case did not conclude until June 5, 2017.
- 5. In this case, Mrs. Kuehn fully disclosed every single document that she relied upon when drafting the Report. This disclosure was timely under this Court's ordered case management deadlines. [See DN 27]. As there is no requirement that the expert "produce" those documents with the report, only to "disclose," it was incumbent upon Plaintiff to request those documents, either through a subpoena to the expert under FRCP Rule 45 or by way of a production request to Defendant under FRCP Rule 34(c). Plaintiff did neither, and did not even make an informal request of Defendant's counsel to produce those documents.
- Instead, despite having notice of those documents, and time within which 6. to request them, Plaintiff neither designated his own expert to rebut Defendant's expert, did not seek to depose Defendant's expert, and never even requested the documents relied upon by Defendant's expert. Plaintiff simply refused to do any further work on this case within the discovery timeframe.

I declare under the penalty of perjury of the United States of America that the foregoing information is true and correct.

Dated: August 3, 2017

/s/ Michael J. Saltz Michael J. Saltz

Case No.: 5:15-cv-04409

File No.: 5.385.011